USOT, WALL CENTER

PECEIVED Sale I I Tark

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYEF

\$ 00.830 \$2 0004205065 JUN 29 2805 \$2 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS Organization IC1600 Bldg./RoPEW U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450

IMMUOSI 981012010 1204 08 07/06/05 PORWARD TIME EXP RIN TO SEND 1201 AMGEN CT W SEATILE WA 98119-3105 RETURN TO SENDER





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,202	33,202 07/29/2003		Douglas Pat Cerretti	2861-US-A	3148
7.	590	06/29/2005		EXAM	INER
Immunex Cor	poratio	n		MOORE, W	ILLIAM W
Law Departmen	nt				
51 University S	Street			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
Seattle, WA				1656	
				DATE MAILED: 06/29/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

JUL: 1 2 2005

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence		10/633,20	2	CERRETTI, DOUGLAS PAT		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		William W.		1652		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sicions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no eve eply within the statu od will apply and wil tute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 •				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	his action is n	on-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 13-29 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-28 is/are withdr		sideration.			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 13-21 and 29 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election re	equirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b)[objected to by the E	xaminer.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P1	ΓO-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			·	u.		
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	4) Interview Summary			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0)8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PT0	D-152)	
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>20030729</u> .	,	6) Other:	.,	•	

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Applicant's Preliminary Amendment filed 29 July 2003 has been entered, canceling original claims 1-12 and introducing the new claims 13-29, as well as amending the first page of the specification to state continuing data for the instant application. This amendment to the specification does not indicate the status of the parent application serial No. 09/561,779, which is now abandoned. Applicant is invited to further amend the specification to indicate the status of the parent application in response to this communication.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Brackets flank the paragraph at page 11, lines 4-6, which is inappropriate in the text of a specification. The disclosure is also objected to because it contains embedded hyperlinks and/or other form of browser-executable code. See page 3 where there are three instances at lines 18-20. Applicant is required to delete all instances of embedded hyperlinks and/or other form of browser-executable code wherever they may occur in the specification. See MPEP § 608.01. Appropriate correction is required.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- Claims 13-21 and 29, drawn to an isolated polypeptide sharing at least 80% amino acid sequence identity with the region of SEQ ID NO:2 between positions 399 and 502, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- 2. Claims 22-28, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide sharing at least 80% amino acid sequence identity with the region of SEQ ID NO:2 between positions 399 and 502, to vectors and host cells comprising the polynucleotide, and to a first method of use of the polynucleotide in a method of making the encoded polypeptide, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.

Inventions of Groups 1 and 2 are unrelated, each to the other, because each comprises a distinct product having a unique primary structure and because the protease of Group 2 is disclosed to have a different catalytic function than the polypeptide of Group 1. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not

Art Unit: 1652

disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed to be used together and require separate searches in the patent and non-patent literature.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Ms. Susan Lingenfelter on 10 June 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 13-21 and 29. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 22-28 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. § 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 13-21 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility.

A claimed invention must posses a specific, substantial and credible *in vitro* or *in vivo* utility. It is agreed that SEQ ID NO:2 encodes a metalloprotease comprising a disintegrin domain and a protease domain and that both domains share detectable degrees of amino acid sequence identity with protease and disintegrin domains in the prior art. The specification, however, discloses no specific *in vitro* or *in vivo* utility for either the disintegrin domain or the protease domain of the SVPH1-26 amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:2. The specification teaches that mRNA transcripts encoding the SVPH1-26 metalloprotease can be detected, see pages 9 and 58, in the human testis but discloses no specific or generic biological activity of the SVPH1-26 disintegrin domain identified in claim 13. The specification proposes, at page 6, the use of the SVPH1-26 disintegrin domain in assays to detect inhibitors of its undisclosed

Art Unit: 1652

activity and in view of the resemblance of the amino acid sequence of the human SVPH1-26 disintegrin domain with other mammalian disintegrin domains proposes, at page 9, that an inhibitor of the disintegrin domain might affect fertilization. But there is no disclosure or demonstration in the specification of any **specific** function of the SVPH1-26 disintegrin domain in mammals where the processes of spermatogenesis and fertilization occur in separate organ systems of different sexes, indicating that Applicant was unaware of the physiological role of a claimed disintegrin domain at the time the application was filed. A method of use of a material for further research to determine, e.g., its specific biological role, thus identifying or confirming a "real world" context for its use, cannot be considered to be a "substantial utility". *Brenner v. Manson*, 383 U.S. 519, 148 USPQ 689 (Sup. Ct. 1966). Mere allegations of a prospective, potential, utility cannot rise to the level of a credible indication of a specific utility that is substantial. Indeed, the specification's vague assertions indicate that Applicant knew no specific utility for the claimed invention at the time the application was filed that would permit the use by the public of the SVPH1-26 disintegrin domain.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 13-21 and 29 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Claims 13-16, 18, 20 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as

Art Unit: 1652

to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 13-16, 18, 20 and 29 are rejected because they describe a polypeptide having disintegrin activity wherein a disintegrin domain may differ at as many as 20 amino acid positions, or 20% of the positions, anywhere within the 402-amino acid sequence of the native SVPH1-26 disintegrin domain present between amino acid positions 399 and 502, inclusive, of SEQ ID NO:2. The specification fails to exemplify or describe the preparation of the divergent amino acid sequences of variant disintegrin domains of claims 13-16, 18, 20, and 29 and neither teaches nor suggests the positions throughout the sequence of amino acids between positions 410 and 502 of SEQ ID NO:2 that might be altered or what the nature of such alterations might be. Thus the artisan reading the specification would not consider that Applicant could have predicted the structure of disintegrin domains that diverge as much as 20% from the amino acid sequence of region extending from position 399 through position 502 of SEQ ID NO:2. The specification does not otherwise disclose or suggest the nature or source of a variant disintegrin domain that meets the claim limitations. "While one does not need to have carried out one's invention before filing a patent application, one does need to be able to describe that invention with particularity" to satisfy the description requirement of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112. Fiers v. Revel v. Sugano, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1605 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The treatment of the claimed subject matter by the specification is entirely prospective and skilled artisans in the relevant field of molecular biology could not predict the structure of a variant disintegrin domain of claims 13-16, 18, 20, and 29.

Claims 13-16, 18, 20, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the preparation of a disintegrin domain having disintegrin activity comprising either an amino acid sequence identical to the 104-amino acid sequence between amino acid positions 399 and 502, inclusive, of SEQ ID NO:2, or the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:3, does not reasonably provide enablement for amino acid sequences having disintegrin activity that diverge from the sequence of amino acids between positions 399 and 502 of SEQ ID NO:2, or the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:3, by amino acid

Art Unit: 1652

substitutions, deletions and insertions, or combinations thereof at as many as 20% of the amino acid positions of the 104-amino acid sequence between positions 399 and 502 of SEQ ID NO:2. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The specification cannot support the introduction of numerous amino acid insertions, deletions, or substitutions anywhere the SVPH1-26 disintegrin domain between positions 399 and 502, inclusive, of SEQ ID NO:2 where neither the specification nor the prior art made of record, taken together, teach of suggest where so many amino acid positions in a disintegrin domain might be altered, nor teach the nature of any alterations that may be made, which permits a resulting variant amino acid sequence to function as a disintegrin domain. The specification teaches no specific disintegrin-like activity for the native SVPH1-26 disintegrin domain of SEQ ID NO:2 with which the artisan, seeking to make even a few alterations, might assay for retention of a disintegrin-like activity and mere sequence perturbation will not enable the design and preparation of divergent disintegrin domains that might provide the public domain that retains its undisclosed, native, function. It is well settled that 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, requires that a disclosure be sufficiently enabling to allow one of skill in the art to practice the invention as claimed without undue experimentation and that unpredictability in an attempt to practice a claimed invention is a significant factor supporting a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, for non-enablement. See, In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988). Applying the analysis of enablement discussed in Wands to the specification's disclosure, it is apparent that:

- a) the specification lacks adequate, specific, guidance for altering the SVPH1-26 disintegrin domain to the extent recited in the claims,
- b) the specification lacks working examples wherein the SVPH1-26 disintegrin domain is altered to the extent recited in the claims,
- c) in view of the prior art publications of record herein, the state of the art and level of skill in the art do not support such alteration, and,
- d) unpredictability exists in the art where no members within the class of disintegrin doamins represented by the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2

Art Unit: 1652

from position 399 through positions 502, inclusive, have had as many as 20 amino acid positions identified for concurrent modification.

Thus the scope of subject matters embraced by the phrases "at least 20% identity" and "at least 10% identity" is considered to be unsupported by the present specification, even when taken in combination with the teachings available in the prior art.

Conclusion

While subject to one or more rejections above under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 and 112, claims 13-21 and 29 are free of the prior art made of record herein. Disclosures of the expressed sequence tags in EMBL Accession Nos. AA400496 and AA400588 of Hillier et al., made of record with Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement filed 29 July 2003, provide two carboxyl-terminal domains of the metalloprotease-disintegrin of SEQ ID NO:2 herein and a portion of the disintegrin domain, but neither they nor any other prior art disclosure of record, disclose an adequate fragment of a disintegrin domain of SEQ IDs NOs:2 and 3 herein that might have disintegrin activity. The closest disclosure in the record is that of Hooft van Huijsduinen, in both WO 99/07856 and Gene, 1998, Vol. 206, pages 273-282, both made of record with Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement, of the ADAM 16a metalloprotease comprising a disintegrin domain amino acid sequence identical to that of SEQ ID NO:2 herein, but publications were made after the October 30, 1997, filing date of Applicant's provisional application serial No. 60/063,571, wherein the claimed invention was first disclosed.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William W. Moore whose telephone number is now 571.272.0933. The examiner can normally be reached between 9:00AM and 5:30PM EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at 571.272.0928. The fax

Art Unit: 1652

phone number for all communications for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571.273.8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571.272.1600.

William W. Moore 24 June 2005

ASHAAT T. NASHED PHO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Express Mail No: ET 437819837 US

Paget _____ of __1

Form P	TO-1	449 U.S. DE	PARTMENT O	FCOMMERCE	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		SERIAL NO.	1/33	202	
		PAT	ENT AND TRAI	DEMARK OFFICE	2861-US-A		SERIAL NO. 10	assigne	d	
INF	ORM	ATION DISCLOS	SURE CIT	TATION	APPLICANT	لـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			.,,,,,	Douglas Pat Cerr	etti				
					FILING DATE	_T	GROUP /	100		
	(U.	se several sheets if	necessarv	,)	July 29, 2003		To be-	652 assign e	·d	
		<u> </u>		'	DOCUMENTS		1100	2331E11C	<u>u</u>	
EXAMINER		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE		NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING	DATE	
					TO WILL	02.50	SOBOLASS		OPRIATE	
	·		FO	REIGN PATE	NT DOCUMENTS	<u> </u>				
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE		COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANS	LATION NO	
www	B1	WO 99/07856	2/18/909	WIPO/PCT		_				
	<u> </u>	071150 000				<u> </u>				
	C1	TITLE T. A. A.	UMENIS (Including Auth	or, Title, Date, Pertinent	Pages,	Etc.)			
,		Accession No. A	W asn U-1	Merck EST P	roject 1997", EMBI	JGENI	SACK/DDI	3J datal	ases	
Accession No. AA400496, Sequence Reference, XP002088620, April 29, 1997.			elerence HS 1200/9	8, EMI	3L Nucleoti	de				
000101	C2	Sequence, XP002088620, April 29, 1997. (abstract only) Hillier L,et al., "WashUpMerck EST Project 1997", EMBL/GENBANK/DDBJ databases								
	C2	Accession No. A	w ash upiv	Paguanas P	oject 199/",EMBL/	GENB.	ANK/DDBJ	databa	ises	
www					eference HS 120089	U, EMI	3L Nucleoti	de		
10000	C3	Sequence, XP002088620, April 29, 1997. (abstract only) Weskamp G, et al., "MDC9, A widely Expressed Cellular Disintegrin Containing Cytoplasmic SH3 Ligand Domains," <i>The Journal of Cell Biology</i> , 132(4):717-726 (1996).								
aum						996).				
C4 Wolfsberg TG, et al., "ADAM, a Novel Family of Membrane Proteins containing A										
Disintegrin and Metalloprotease Domain: Multipotential Functions in Cell-Cell and Cell-Matrix Interactions," <i>The Journal of Cell Biology</i> , 131(2):275-278 (1995).						ell-				
000000	05	Matrix Interaction	ons," The J	ournal of Ce	ll Biology, 131(2):27	<u>75-278</u>	<u>(1995).</u>			
,	C5	Hooft van Huijse	luijnen K.	"ADAM 20	and 21; Two Novel	Human	Testis-Spec	cific		
WWW		(1998).	lloprotease	es with Simil	arity to Fertilin-α,"	GENE,	. 206(2)273	-282,		
					:					
:										
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
EXAMINE	7	(11.8/	1, W.	-1-1 0		1-1	2.			
*EXAMINE	R: Initi	al if citation considered	V' //WZ	The not citation is in	n conformance with MPE	D GOO: T	ne 200	3	:6	
not in co	nforma	ance and not considere	d. Include c	opy of this form	with next communication	n to appl	icant	yn chall	וו ווע	

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination CERRETTI, DOUGLAS PAT Examiner William W. Moore Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination CERRETTI, DOUGLAS PAT Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-5,883,241	03-1999	Docherty et al.	536/23.2
*	В	US-6,485,956	11-2002	Cerretti, Douglas P.	435/219
*	C	US-6,673,549	01-2004	Furness et al.	435/6
*	D	US-6,683,165	01-2004	Keith et al.	536/22.1
*	Е	US-2002/0042368	04-2002	Fanslow et al.	514/12
*	F	US-2003/0118997	06-2003	Bejanin et al.	435/6
*	G	US-2003/0194797	10-2003	Young et al.	435/226
*	Н	US-2004/0119171	06-2004	Chen et al.	257/778
	1	US-		·	
	J	US-			
	к	US-		·	
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
*	N	WO 2001/62905	08-2001	WIPO	Fanslow et al.	
	0					
	Р					
	q	*				
	R					
	S					
	T					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	w	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.